INTERNATIONAL
LAW IN
LEBANON CRISIS

Executive Summary

The Lebanon crisis presents significant challenges to international law, exacerbated by external influences, the dominant role of Hezbollah, and intricate geopolitical dynamics. This situation has strained Lebanon's sovereignty and weakened the enforcement of international legal norms. Despite the presence of United Nations peacekeeping forces like UNIFIL, compliance with international law conventions remains elusive. This report analyzes the ongoing crisis, identifies key challenges to international law, explores potential scenarios, and provides strategic recommendations to stabilize Lebanon and reinforce the application of international law in the region.

Background

1. **Historical Context:** Lebanon's contemporary history is marked by deep internal divisions and persistent external interventions. Following the end of the French mandate in 1943, the country's diverse religious and ethnic composition led to repeated sectarian conflicts, most notably the Lebanese Civil War (1975–1990). Although the Taif Agreement in 1989 aimed to restore stability, Hezbollah's rise and recurring conflicts with Israel have continued to disrupt Lebanon's security and governance.

2. **Geopolitical Context:** Strategically located amid Middle Eastern conflicts, Lebanon is subject to significant interference from regional powers, particularly Iran, Israel, and Syria. Hezbollah, supported by Iran, wields considerable influence that challenges Lebanon's sovereignty and complicates its relations with Israel. Moreover, global powers, including the U.S. and Russia, have vested interests in the region, making Lebanon a battleground for competing agendas that hinder the enforcement of international law.

3. **Current Situation**: Lebanon is grappling with a dire economic crisis, political fragmentation, and escalating social unrest. UNIFIL, tasked with maintaining peace along the Blue Line with Israel, faces limitations in enforcing compliance with critical UN resolutions, including Resolution 1701 and Resolution 1559. Hezbollah's military capabilities and ongoing arms accumulation pose obstacles to disarmament initiatives, while the Lebanese government struggles to exert full control.

Strategic Analysis

1. **Challenges to International Law:**
   - **Violation of Sovereignty**: External interventions and the allegiance of local factions to foreign entities undermine Lebanon's sovereignty and, by extension, international legal norms.
   - **Partial Implementation of UN Resolutions**: Despite UN resolutions aimed at disarmament and establishing a buffer zone, enforcement remains limited, as Hezbollah continues to retain arms and engage in hostilities, thus undermining the credibility of the UN.
   - **Limitations of UNIFIL's Mandate**: The restricted mandate of UNIFIL, which focuses on monitoring rather than enforcement, results in frequent violations of borders and challenges to ceasefire agreements.

2. **Role of UN Peacekeeping and International Law Conventions:** UNIFIL operates under constrained conditions, prioritizing monitoring over enforcement. The application of international humanitarian law (IHL) is continually challenged, as Hezbollah's activities and sporadic skirmishes threaten civilian safety. The lack of accountability mechanisms for non-state actors like Hezbollah further weakens these legal conventions.

Scenario Analysis

1. **Best Case Scenario:**
   - **Outcomes**: Full implementation of UN resolutions, effective disarmament of Hezbollah, and establishment of political stability in Lebanon.
   - **Implications**: This scenario could foster stability, bolster public trust in government institutions, spur economic recovery, and reduce external interference, allowing Lebanon to operate as a sovereign state within international legal frameworks.

2. Worst Case Scenario:

Outcomes: Escalation into full-scale conflict with Israel, potential collapse of state institutions, and a humanitarian crisis.

Implications: A comprehensive conflict would severely affect Lebanon, resulting in widespread displacement, economic collapse, and further diminishing the UN's credibility. The escalation of humanitarian violations would also challenge international law.

3. Most Likely Scenario:

Outcomes: Continued stalemate with periodic escalations managed through diplomatic means, yet lacking substantial progress on disarmament or political reforms.

Implications: Ongoing instability would perpetuate humanitarian and economic crises, further eroding the effectiveness of international law and UN peacekeeping efforts. Lebanon's dependency on foreign actors and unresolved internal divisions would exacerbate the crisis.

Strategic Recommendations

1. **Strengthen UN Peacekeeping Mandates:**
   - **Action:** Advocate for enhanced resources and an expanded mandate for UNIFIL to bolster its capacity to enforce border security and ensure compliance with ceasefire agreements.
   - **Rationale:** Enhanced operational capabilities would allow UNIFIL to respond effectively to violations and act as a stronger deterrent against hostilities.

2. Encourage Regional Diplomatic Engagement:

- **Action**: Facilitate diplomatic dialogue among key stakeholders, including the U.S., Russia, Iran, and Saudi Arabia, to promote dialogue, alleviate tensions, and respect Lebanon's sovereignty.

- **Rationale**: Multilateral diplomacy could help mitigate foreign influence, enabling Lebanon to regain control over its internal affairs and contribute to regional stability.

3. Support for Internal Reform:

- **Action:** Provide international assistance for Lebanese-led political reforms aimed at combating corruption, enhancing transparency, and promoting disarmament.

- **Rationale**: Effective internal reforms are critical for reducing factionalism and building a unified government capable of regulating armed groups within its borders.

4. Establish Accountability Mechanisms:

- **Action**: Create international monitoring bodies to ensure compliance with UN resolutions and international law conventions, incorporating regular reporting and transparent accountability measures.

- **Rationale:** Third-party monitoring can enhance transparency, hold parties accountable for violations, and reinforce adherence to international legal standards.

Conclusion

The Lebanon crisis illustrates the challenges faced by international law in addressing complex internal conflicts influenced by external actors. A strategic approach that incorporates stronger peacekeeping mandates, regional diplomacy, and support for internal reforms is vital to stabilize Lebanon and uphold international law. Ongoing multilateral cooperation and commitment to international conventions are essential for achieving a sustainable resolution that respects Lebanon's sovereignty and fosters peace in the region.

GLOBAL EYE

INTELLIGENCE